International Journal of Institutional Pharmacy and Life Sciences 5(2): March-April 2015

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INSTITUTIONAL PHARMACY AND LIFE SCIENCES

Life Sciences

Research Article.....!!!

Received: 20-03-2015; Revised: 23-03-2015; Accepted: 24-03-2015

ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF ALLIUM SATIVUM AGAINST HUMAN PATHOGENS

Packia Lekshmi NCJ¹*, Viveka S², Viswanathan MB³

- Department of Biotechnology, Sathyabama University, Chennai 600119, Tamil Nadu, India
 *Department of Microbiology, Udaya College of Arts and Science, Vellamodi, Kanyakumari district, Tamilnadu, India.
- 2. Department of Biotechnology, Udaya School of Engineering, Vellamodi, Kanyakumari District 629204, Tamil Nadu, India
- 3. Department of Plant Science, Bharathidhasan University, Tiruchirappalli 620024, Tamil Nadu, India

Keywords:

Allium sativum, disc diffusion, bacterial pathogens, phytochemicals

For Correspondence:

Packia Lekshmi NCJ

Department of Biotechnology, Sathyabama University, Chennai 600119, Tamil Nadu, India

E-mail:

packia 3779@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT

Garlic (Allium sativum L.) is one of the oldest cultured vegetation and is used ever since ancient times both as diet and as drug. The present study aimed at assessing the antibacterial activity of garlic by disc diffusion method against ten MTCC bacterial species. Various solvent extracts of garlic inhibited the growth of bacterial species at the concentrations of 100, 200, 300, 400 and 500µg. All the four extracts showed maximum activity against Staphylococcus aureus. Among the solvent extracts, methanol extract of garlic showed better result in antimicrobial activity. This may be due to the presence of active phytochemicals in the solvent extracts. The extracts showed concentration dependent antibacterial activity against bacterial cultures. The traditional use of Allium species for infectious diseases and for controlling bacterial infection appears to be justified.

INTRODUCTION

Galric (*Allium sativum* L.) belong to the family Liliaceae, a common vegetable used widely in almost all parts of the world. Various species of genus *Allium* have been used as spices and vegetables, and as drugs for curing various diseases for many centuries. Plant physiologists and chemists were attracted towards the powerful and unusual flavours of these vegetables and their medical applications¹⁻⁵. On account of its culinary and medicinal properties, it has been cultivated for centuries all over the world^{6,7}.

Garlic has a long folklore history as a treatment for many respiratory diseases and also it is reported to strengthen the immune system⁸. At the present time, the *Allium* family has over 500 members each differing in morphology, taste and colour, but close in phytochemical and neutraceutical content. In addition to their nutritional effects, the antibacterial activity against a variety of gram negative and gram positive were continue to be investigated ⁹. The objective of this study is to determine the antimicrobial activity of garlic collected from the cultivation site (Pannaikadu, Kodaikanal).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of plant materials

Allium sativum used in this study was collected from Pannaikadu village of Kodaikanal district and brought to the laboratory for further analysis.

Processing of plant materials

The collected *A. sativum* bulb was cleaned thoroughly and dried under shade. The dried bulb was blended into fine powder and stored in air tight container at room temperature.

Preparation of extracts

The organic solvents such as petroleum ether, chloroform, methanol and distilled water was used for the extracting the bioactive compounds from *A.sativum* bulb. The extraction was done using soxhlet apparatus. The extract dried using vacuum evaporator and stored in air tight containers.

Collection of bacterial cultures

Ten different bacterial cultures used in this study were collected from microbial type culture collection (MTCC). The cultures used were *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *E.coli*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Bacillus typhi* and *Aeromonas hydrophila*. The cultures were revived in nutrient agar medium and stored as slant cultures.

Determination of antimicrobial activity

The Muller Hinton agar (MHA) plates were swabbed with bacterial pathogens and well of 8mm diameter was punched into the MHA medium and filled with 10-50µl (100-500µg) of solvent extract. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After incubation period, the diameters of zone of inhibition produced by the extract with different human bacterial pathogens in different plates were measured and recorded.

RESULTS

The solvent extracts of garlic, garlic exhibited good antibacterial activity against all the ten MTCC cultures tested. Petroleum ether, methanol, water and chloroform extract showed maximum activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* and the antibacterial activity determined was 24.5±0.4mm, 24.3±0.2mm, 23±0.5mm and 18.5±0.4mm zone of inhibition respectively in highest concentrations. Methanol and water extract of garlic showed maximum activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in the range of 21.2±0.2mm zone of inhibition and 18.3±0.2mm zone of inhibition in 500 μl concentrations respectively. Petroleum ether extract of garlic showed maximum of 14.5±0.4mm in 500 μl concentrations against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* showed resistant to least concentration of petroleum ether and chloroform extract of garlic. 10.6±0.4mm were the maximum antibacterial inhibition zone observed for chloroform extract of garlic against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (table 1).

Klebsiella pneumonia showed maximum sensitivity (15.5±0.5mm zone of inhibition in 500 μl concentrations) to methanol extract and exhibited no sensitivity to the least concentration of petroleum ether, chloroform and water extract and showed less activity towards the highest concentration of chloroform extract of garlic (10.8±0.6mm zone). Water and petroleum ether extract exhibited 14.1±0.2mm zone of inhibition in 500 μl concentration and 12.8±0.2mm zone in 500 μl concentrations respectively. Methanol and petroleum ether extract exhibited 17.8±0.2mm zone and 16.1±0.2mm zone of inhibition against *E.coli* in 500 μl concentrations followed by water and chloroform extract of garlic.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of garlic against bacterial pathogens

| | | | | | | | 2 | Zone of Inhib | oition (mm)/C | oncentra | tion of e | xtract (µ | ıg) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------|----------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|----------|-----------------|---------------|----------|----------|
| Clinical Pathogens | 100 | | | | 200 | | | | 300 | | | | 400 | | | | 500 | | | |
| | Petroleum ether | Chloroform | Methanol | Water | Petroleum ether | Chloroform | Methanol | Water | Petroleum ether | Chloroform | Methanol | Water | Petroleum ether | Chloroform | Methanol | Water | Petroleum ether | Chloroform | Methanol | Water |
| K.pneumonieae | 0 | 0 | 10.5±0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.3±0.2 | 10.1±0.2 | 9 ± 0.4 | 8.8± 0.2 | 14.1 ±0.2 | 12.3 ±0.5 | 10.5 ± 0.4 | 9.8± 0.6 | 15.3±0.2 | 13.3±0.2 | 12.8± 0.2 | 10.8± 0.6 | 15.5±0.5 | 14.1±0.2 |
| E.coli | 9 ± 0.4 | 0 | 11±0.5 | 8.6±0.5 | 10.1± 0.2 | 8.8± 0.2 | 13.3±0.2 | 11.1±0.2 | 11.3± 0.4 | 10 ± 0.4 | 16.1 ±0.2 | 13.1 ±0.2 | 14 ± 0.4 | 12 ± 0.4 | 16.3±0.2 | 14±0.5 | 16.1± 0.2 | 13.3± 0.2 | 17.8±0.2 | 14.1±0.2 |
| S.pyogenes | 8.8± 0.2 | 8.8± 0.2 | 10.3±0.5 | 10.1±0.2 | 9.5 ± 0.4 | 11 ± 0.4 | 10.5±0.5 | 13±0.5 | 11.3± 0.4 | 12.5 ± 0.4 | 13.3 ±0.5 | 15.1 ±0.2 | 13.5 ± 0.4 | 14.1± 0.2 | 14.1±0.2 | 17.1±0.2 | 15.3± 0.4 | 16.1± 0.2 | 14.5±0.5 | 18.3±0.2 |
| P.auroginosa | 0 | 0 | 16.6±0.7 | 12.8±0.2 | 10 ± 0.8 | 0 | 18.1±0.2 | 13.3±0.2 | 11.1± 0.2 | 8.8± 0.2 | 18.3 ±0.2 | 14.8 ±0.2 | 12.6± 0.4 | 10.1± 0.2 | 20.1±0.2 | 16.1±0.2 | 14.5 ± 0.4 | 10.6± 0.4 | 21.2±0.2 | 18.3±0.2 |
| E.aerogenes | 9.1± 0.2 | 0 | 14±0.5 | 12±0.5 | 11.6 ± 0.4 | 8.8± 0.2 | 17.1±0.2 | 13.3±0.2 | 13.5 ± 0.4 | 10 ± 0.4 | 17.5 ±0.5 | 15.1 ±0.2 | 15.3± 0.4 | 11.1± 0.2 | 20.1±0.2 | 16.1±0.2 | 18.1± 0.2 | 11.1± 0.2 | 21.3±0.5 | 18.1±0.2 |
| S.aureus | 12.8± 0.2 | 10.3± 0.4 | 11.8±0.2 | 12.1±0.2 | 14.8± 0.2 | 12.5 ± 0.4 | 15.1±0.2 | 15.3±0.2 | 16.5 ± 0.4 | 14.5 ± 0.4 | 18.5 ±0.5 | 16.1 ±0.2 | 20.3± 0.4 | 15.1± 0.2 | 21.3±0.5 | 19.3±0.5 | 24.5± 0.4 | 18.5 ± 0.4 | 24.3±0.2 | 23±0.5 |
| P.vulgaris | 0 | 11 ± 0.4 | 12.1±0.2 | 11.8±0.2 | 8.8± 0.2 | 12 ± 0.4 | 12.5±0.5 | 13.8±0. | 11.8± 0.6 | 13.3 ± 0.2 | 15.1 ±0.2 | 15.3 ±0.2 | 14 ± 0.4 | 15.3 ± 0.2 | 15.3±0.2 | 16.1±0.2 | 15.5± 0.4 | 15.1 ± 0.2 | 19.1±0.2 | 16.3±0.2 |
| S.typhi | 8.8± 0.2 | 0 | 11.8±0.2 | 9.1±0.2 | 9 ± 0.4 | 0 | 12.3±0.2 | 9.3±0.2 | 10.5± 0.7 | 9.5 ± 0.5 | 14.1 ±0.2 | 11.1 ±0.2 | 12.1± 0.2 | 10 ± 0.4 | 16.8±0.7 | 13.3±0.5 | 13.8± 0.2 | 11.1 ± 0.2 | 17.3±0.5 | 14.3±0.2 |
| B.subtilis | 0 | 0 | 9.1±0.2 | 0 | 0 | 9 ± 0 | 9.3±0.2 | 9.3±0.2 | 9.8± 0.2 | 9.8 ± 0.6 | 11.1 ±0.2 | 10.1 ±0.2 | 11.1± 0.2 | 11.1± 0.2 | 13.1±0.2 | 11.1±0.2 | 11.8± 0.6 | 11.5± 0.5 | 13.3±0.2 | 11.5±0.5 |
| A.hydrophila | 0 | 9.3 ± 0.2 | 9.1±0.2 | 0 | 8.8± 0.2 | 11.1± 0.2 | 10.17±0. 2 | 9.1±0.2 | 10.8± 0.2 | 12 ± 0.4 | 13.3 ±0.5 | 10.3 ±0.2 | 12.8± 0.2 | 14.5 ± 0.5 | 14.1±0.2 | 10.5±0.5 | 14.1± 0.2 | 15 .3± 0.2 | 14.5±0.5 | 11.3±0.2 |

Streptococcus pyogenes was sensitive to water, chloroform, petroleum ether and methanol extract of garlic was 18.3±0.2mm, 16.1±0.2mm, 15.3±0.4mm and 14.5±0.5mm zone of inhibition respectively in 500 μl concentrations. Methanol extract of garlic showed maximum antibacterial activity against *Enterobacter aerogenes* in the range of 14±0.5mm to 21.3±0.5mm in 100 to 500 μl concentrations. Water and petroleum ether extract showed the maximum activity of 18.1±0.2mm zone of inhibition in 500 μl concentrations. *Enterobacter aerogenes* was resistant to the least concentration of chloroform extract. It showed maximum of 11.1±0.2mm zone of inhibition in 500 μl concentration. 19.1±0.2mm zone for methanol extract and 16.3±0.2mm zone of inhibition for water extract 500 μl concentrations, 15.3±0.2mm zone for chloroform extract and 15.5±0.4mm zone for petroleum ether extract of garlic was observed against *Proteus vulgaris* in various concentrations respectively.

Methanol and water extract showed maximum activity against Salmonella typhi was 17.3±0.5mm and 14.3±0.2mm zone respectively in 500 μl concentrations. Petroleum ether and chloroform extract of garlic showed maximum of 13.8±0.2mm and 11.1±0.2mm zone in 500 µl concentrations respectively. Bacillus subtilis was sensitive to methanol extract of garlic in the range between 9.1±0.2mm and 13.3±0.2mm zone of inhibition in 100 and 500 µl concentrations. Chloroform, water and petroleum ether extract does not showed antibacterial against Bacillus subtilis in the least concentrations. It showed 11.5±0.5mm of maximum zone of inhibition for both chloroform and water extract against Bacillus subtilis in 500 µl concentrations. 11.8±0.6mm zone was the maximum zone observed for petroleum ether extract against Bacillus subtilis in highest concentration. Chloroform extract of garlic showed maximum activity (15.3±0.2mm zone in highest concentration) against Aeromonas 14.5±0.5mm of inhibition zone for methanol extract and 14.1±0.2mm of hydrophila. inhibition zone for petroleum extract in 500 µl concentrations were observed against Aeromonas hydrophila (table 1). The maximum activity exhibited by water extract was 11.3±0.2mm zone of inhibition in 500 μl concentrations and showed less activity for water extract in higher concentration.

DISCUSSIONS

Proteus mirabilis was sensitive to aqueous extracts of *Ophioscordon* variety of *Allium* at higher concentration (400 and 500mg). *E.aerogenes* was not susceptible to the aqueous extract of garlic varieties, while *S.typhi* was susceptible to the extracts of garlic varieties. Ethanolic extract of *sativum* was highly effective against all the bacterial species that was

taken for the whereas E.coli, S.typhi and S.flexineri were sensitive to ethanolic extract of Ophioscordon variety in Shobana et al¹⁰ study. Also they reported that alcoholic extract of A. sativum was highly effective against all the bacterial species that was taken for the study. In Benkeblia¹¹ reported that ethanolic extract of garlic exhibited different inhibition levels against S. aureus and S. entritidis. In dose response study, the inhibition zone increased with increasing concentration of extracts. Low concentrations (50 and 100ml/l) inhibited weakly the development of bacteria; however S. entritidis was more sensitive than S. aureus. Kyung et al¹² reported that allicin of garlic extract showed strong antibacterial activity against S.aureus at 150ml/l concentration. This antibacterial activity was enhanced and highest when garlic extract was heated for 45 minutes at 121°C. According to Onyeagba and his colleagues¹³ the crude extract of garlic did not exhibit any invitro inhibition on the growth of test organisms including Staphylococcus sp. In Karuppiah and Rajaram¹⁴ investigation, the garlic cloves extracts exhibited high degree of inhibitory activity against most of the seven tested organisms. Among the clinical pathogens, P.aeruginosa, E.coli, Bacillus sp., S.aureus and Enterobacter sp. were the least inhibited by garlic extracts. The diameter of zone of growth inhibition varied between 7mm and 19mm in garlic. The garlic cloves alcoholic extract showed highest diameter of zone of inhibition of 19.45mm against P.aeruginosa followed by E.coli (18.50mm) and Bacillus sp. (16.5mm). It showed similar zone of inhibition of 13.50mm in diameter against *Proteus* sp., *Enterobacter* sp. and *S.aureus*.

At 100%, the maximum zone of inhibition was observed against *B.subtilis* (54mm) a gram positive organism and the minimum was observed against *Proteus* sp. (22mm), a gram negative organism in Durairaj et al¹⁵ study. This indicated that aqueous garlic extract has the potential of a broad spectrum of activity against both gram positive and gram negative bacteria. All the four solvent extracts of *Allium* extract completely inhibits the growth of the microorganisms used in this study. This may due to the extract preparations, different concentrations of the active compounds present in the extract and their interactions in the culture media. Antibiotics were used for therapy, but many of the pathogenic bacteria are resistant. Natural products of higher plants may offer a new source of antibacterial agents and from this result it is clear that the medicinal value of *Allium* sp. is comparable to the present day antibiotics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Mr.R.Anand for his help in collecting *Allium* species used in this study. We also express our gratitude to the principal and management of Udaya College of arts and science, Vellamodi (Tamilnadu, India) for their moral support to carry out this research.

REFERENCES

- Akgul A., "Spice science and technology", Turkish Association of Food Technologists, Ankara, 1993; Publ. No. 15: p. 451.
- 2. Baytop T., "Treatment with plants in Turkey", Istanbul Univ., 1984; Publ. No. 3255, Istanbul (in Turkish).
- 3. Carson J. F., "Chemistry and biological properties of onions and garlic", Food Reviews International, 1987; Vol. 3: 1–103.
- 4. Graham H. D., and Graham E. J. F., "Inhibition of *Aspergillus parasiticus* growth and toxin production by garlic" Journal of Food Safety, 1987; Vol.8: 101–108.
- 5. Parry J. W., "Spices; their morphology, histological and chemistry", New York: Chemical Publishing Co, 1962; p. 226.
- 6. Baruchin A. M., Sagi A., Yoffe B., and Ronen M., "Garlic burns. Burns", 2001; Vol. 27: 781–782.
- 7. Sharma G. P., and Prasad S., "Drying of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) cloves by microwave-hot air combination", Journal of Food Engineering, 2001; Vol.50: 99–105.
- 8. Borek C., "Antioxidant health effects of aged garlic extract", J. Nutr. Sci., 2001; Vol.131: 1010-1015.
- 9. Whitemore B. B., and Naidu A. S., "Thiosulfinates", In A. S. Naidu (Ed.), Natural food antimicrobial systems, 2000; Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, pp. 265–380.
- 10. Shobana S., Vidhya V.G., Ramya M., "Antibacterial activity of garlic varieties (*Ophiscordon* and *sativum*) on enteric pathogens", Current Research Journal of Biological Sciences, 2009; Vol.1(3: 123-126.
- 11. Benkeblia N., "Antimicrobial activity of essential oil extracts of various onions (*Allium cepa*) and garlic (*Allium sativum*)", Lebensm-Wiss. U-Technol., 2004; Vol.37: 263-268.
- 12. Kyung K. H., Kim M. H., Park M. S., and Kim Y. E. "Alliinase-independent inhibition of Staphylococcus aureus B33 by heated garlic", Journal of Food Science, 2002; Vol.67: 780–785.
- 13. Onyeagba R.A., Ugbogu O.C., Okeke C.U., and Iroakasi O., "Studies on the antimicrobial effects on garlic (*Allium sativum* Linn), ginger (*Zingeber officinale* Roscoe) and lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Linn)", African Journal of Biotechnology, 2004; Vol.3(10): 552-554.
- 14. Karuppiah P., and Rajaram S., "Antibacterial effect of *Allium sativum* cloves and *Zingiber officinale* rhizomes against multiple-drug resistant clinical pathogens", Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine, 2012; 597-601.
- 15. Durairaj S., Srinivasan S., and Lakshmanaperumalsamy P., "Electronic Journal of Biology, 2009; Vol. 5(1): 5-10.